arXiv:1612.01628v1 [math.AP] 6 Dec 2016

FUNCTION SPACES AND EXTENSION RESULTS FOR NONLOCAL DIRICHLET PROBLEMS

BARTŁOMIEJ DYDA AND MORITZ KASSMANN

Abstract. We study function spaces and extension results in relation with Dirichlet problems involving integrodifferential operators. For such problems, data are prescribed on the complement of a given domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We introduce a function space that serves as a trace space for nonlocal Dirichlet problems and study related extension results.

1. Introduction

In this work, we study function spaces related to Dirichlet problems for a class of integrodifferential operators, which satisfy the maximum principle. We introduce a new function space, which can be understood as a nonlocal trace space. Let us illustrate our task with a very simple problem. Let $\Omega = B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be the unit ball and set $s \in (0,1)$. We ask ourselves the question, for which functions $g : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, there is a function $u : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$Lu(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(y)-u(x)}{|y-x|^{1+2s}} \mathrm{d}y = 0 \qquad \text{for } x \in \Omega\,, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(x) = g(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega\,. \tag{1.2}$$

We need to specify the function space of possible solutions u. Moreover, we have to define in which sense the above equation is to be understood. Since the validity of the equation $Lu(x) = 0$ for some $x \in \Omega$ involves values of u on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$, where $u = g$ is imposed, there is a direct link between the function space for solutions u and the function space for the data g.

Extension and trace theorems are well known in the study of classical local Dirichlet problems. If one prescribes a function $\widetilde{g} \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and searches for a function $\widetilde{u}$ such that $\widetilde{u}$ is harmonic in Ω and its trace equals $\widetilde{g}$ on $\partial\Omega$, then $\widetilde{u} \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a natural assumption. The reason is that functions in $H^1(\Omega)$ have a trace in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and, vice versa, functions in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ can be extended to elements of $H^1(\Omega)$, cf. [1]. In this work, we establish a similar relation for function spaces with fractional order of smoothness.

Let us explain how to define a variational solution u satisfying (1.1)–(1.2), cf. [3, 5]. Define two vector spaces by

$$V^s(\Omega|\mathbb{R}^d) = \big\{ v \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d) | \int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\big(v(y)-v(x)\big)^2}{|x-y|^{d+2s}} \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y < \infty \big\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35, 47G20, 35D30, 35S15.

Key words and phrases. Nonlocal Dirichlet problem, trace theorem, extension operator, integrodifferential equation.

$$H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^d) = V^s_0(\Omega|\mathbb{R}^d) = \{v \in V^s(\Omega|\mathbb{R}^d) | v = 0 \text{ on } \Omega^c\}\,.$$

Let us collect a few basic observations on these spaces.

(1) $V^s(\mathbb{R}^d|\mathbb{R}^d)$ equals the Sobolev-Slobodeckij space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
(2) $H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Banach space together with the norm

$$\|v\|^2_{H^s} = \|v\|^2_{L^2} + (1-s)\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\big(v(y)-v(x)\big)^2}{|x-y|^{d+2s}}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x$$

Let us define the notion of a variational solution.

Definition 1 (cf. Definition 2.5 in [5])**.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open such that Ω and Ω^c both have positive measure. Let $g \in V^s(\Omega|\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $u \in V^s(\Omega|\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called a variational solution to (1.1)–(1.2), if $u - g \in H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for every $\varphi \in H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\big(u(y)-u(x)\big)\big(\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)\big)}{|x-y|^{d+2s}}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x = 0\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Note that in peridynamics, variational solutions to nonlocal boundary value problems are formulated slightly differently, cf. [8]. With the above definition at hand, we are now in the position to explain the main question addressed in this article. In order to apply Definition 1 one needs to prescribe the data function g in the vector space $V^s(\Omega|\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. in particular on needs to prescribe all values of g in $\mathbb{R}^d$. This leads to the following question:

Question: For which Banach space of functions $g : \Omega^c \to \mathbb{R}$
(a) is there an extension operator $g \mapsto \mathrm{ext}(g) \in V^s(\Omega|\mathbb{R}^d)$, and
(b) is there a trace operator from $V^s(\Omega|\mathbb{R}^d)$ into this space?

As mentioned above, for the case of classical Sobolev spaces these questions are classical and were settled long time ago , cf. [9]. For a large class of domains Ω, functions in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ can be extended to elements of $H^1(\Omega)$ and these themselves have a trace in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. In the present article we study this problem in the nonlocal fractional context. We do allow Ω to be a domain with a pretty rough boundary but stress the fact, that our results are new even for domains Ω with a smooth boundary.

Trace and extension results have been established for various function spaces including Sobolev spaces with fractional order of differentiability. To our best knowledge, extensions from a complement of a domain to the whole space have not been dealt with so far. One reason for this might be that Dirichlet problems with prescribed data on the complement have not yet been studied intensively. We refer to Remark 6 for a discussion of a related result.

The article is organized a follows. In Section 2 we present the setup of our work together with the main results. Section 3 provides basic properties of the function spaces under consideration. In Section 4 we present the proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 4 is given in Section 5.

2. Setup and main results

Throughout the whole paper we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open set with the property that both, Ω and $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$, have positive Lebesgue measure. For our main result, we will assume some very mild additional assumption. We will use the symbol $g \lesssim h$ to denote that the inequality $g \le ch$ holds

with a positive constant c that is independent of g and h. We adopt the convention that $0^a = \infty$ for $a < 0$, in particular, $\frac{1}{0} = \infty$. We assume $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s \le 1$.

In short, our main result answers the question from the previous section. It roughly says that the vector space of all functions $g \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega^c)$ with

$$\int_{\Omega^c}\int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|^2}{(|x-y|+\delta_x+\delta_y)^{d+2s}}\,dy\,dx. \tag{2.1}$$

has the desired properties. A special feature of our result is that the limit case $s = 1$ can be included. Thus we obtain a new extension result for $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$-functions, see below for details.
Let us now explain the set-up in detail. For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let

$$|f|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} := \int_{\Omega}\int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{d+sp}}\,dy\,dx, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|f\|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} := \|f\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} + |f|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}, \tag{2.3}$$

and let $W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} < \infty\}$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)$, if f satisfies some regularity condition across the boundary $\partial\Omega$, whereas the behavior of f far from $\partial\Omega$ is not considered.

Example. Consider a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \le p < \infty$, given by $f = \mathbb{1}_\Omega$. Then the function f belongs to $W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)$ if and only if $s < \frac{1}{p}$.

The inner radius of an open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as $\operatorname{inr}(D) = \frac{1}{2}\sup_{B\subset D}\operatorname{diam}(B)$, where the supremum is taken over all balls $B \subset D$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, set $\delta_x = \operatorname{dist}(x,\Omega)$. Set $\Omega_b = \{x \in \Omega^c : \delta_x < \operatorname{inr}(\Omega)\}$. For a function g let

$$|g|^{s,p}_{A,B} := \int_A\int_B \frac{|g(x)-g(y)|^p}{(|x-y|+\delta_x+\delta_y)^{d+sp}}\,dy\,dx.$$

The following result introduces a useful (semi)norm that is equivalent to $\|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}$ resp. $|f|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}$. For the definition of interior thick domains we refer the reader to Subsection 3.2, here let us only mention that bounded Lipschitz domains are interior thick.

Theorem 2. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s \le 1$. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open interior thick set. Then there exists a constant $c = c(p,\Omega)$ not depending on s, such that*

$$3^{-d-p}|f|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} \le \int_{\Omega\cup\Omega_b}\int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{(|x-y|+\delta_x+\delta_y)^{d+sp}}\,dy\,dx \le \frac{c}{s}|f|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} \tag{2.4}$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The following norms

$$\left(\|\cdot\|^p_{L^p(\Omega,(1+|x|)^{-d-sp}\,dx)} + |\cdot|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}\right)^{1/p},$$

$$\left(\|\cdot\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d,(1+|x|)^{-d-sp}\,dx)} + |\cdot|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}\right)^{1/p},$$

and

$$\left(\|f\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d,(1+|x|)^{-d-sp}\,dx)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{(|x-y|+\delta_x+\delta_y)^{d+sp}}\,dy\,dx\right)^{1/p}$$

are comparable with constants depending only on p, Ω and the lower bound for s.

Remark 3. Note that, for the case $s \to 1-$, the different s-dependence on the two sides in (2.4) is not important.

The following theorem contains our main result.

Theorem 4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set which is exterior thick and such that $\partial\Omega$ has Lebesgue measure zero, and $\operatorname{inr}(\Omega) < \infty$ or $\operatorname{inr}(\Omega^c) = \infty$. Then there exists a linear operator ext which maps $L^1_{loc}(\Omega^c)$ to the space of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the following properties.*

(a) For all $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega^c)$, $\operatorname{ext}(f)|_{\Omega^c} = f$ and $\operatorname{ext}(f)|_\Omega \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. Furthermore, if $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and the limit $g = \lim_{\Omega^c \ni x \to z_0} f(x)$ exists, then also the limit $\lim_{\Omega \ni x \to z_0} \operatorname{ext}(f)(x)$ exists and equals g.

(b) Let $1 \le p < \infty$. There exists a constant $c = c(\Omega, p)$ such that the following inequalities hold for all $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega^c)$

$$|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega\cup\Omega_b,\Omega^c} \le \frac{c}{s}|f|^{s,p}_{\Omega_b,\Omega^c}, \quad 0 < s \le 1, \tag{2.5}$$

$$|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega\cup\Omega_b,\mathbb{R}^d} \le \frac{c}{s(1-s)}|f|^{s,p}_{\Omega_b,\Omega^c}, \quad 0 < s < 1. \tag{2.6}$$

(c) Let $1 \le p < \infty, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ or $p = \infty, \beta = 0$. There exists a constant $c = c(\Omega, \beta, p)$ such that the following inequality holds for all $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega^c)$

$$\|\operatorname{ext}(f)\|_{L^p(\Omega,(1+|x|)^\beta dx)} \le c\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega_b,(1+|x|)^\beta dx)}.$$

As a corollary we obtain a new extension-type result for classical Sobolev spaces.

Corollary 5. *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz-domain and $1 < p < \infty$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(\Omega, p)$ such that*

$$|\operatorname{ext}(f)|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le c|f|^{1,p}_{\Omega_b,\Omega^c}, \quad f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega^c), \tag{2.7}$$

where we take $|\operatorname{ext}(f)|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|\nabla \operatorname{ext}(f)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$, if $\operatorname{ext}(f) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and $|\operatorname{ext}(f)|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \infty$ otherwise.

Proof. Multiply both sides of (2.6) by $(1-s)$ and take $s \to 1-$. If $|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega\cup\Omega_b,\mathbb{R}^d} < \infty$ for some s, then $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ and inequality (2.7) follows from [2, Theorem 2]. In the other case inequality (2.7) is trivial. □

Remark 6. In the case $p = 2$, a result similar to Corollary 5 has recently been established in [4]. For the trace map T, the authors prove an estimate of the form

$$\|Tu\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \le C\|u\|_{\mathcal{S}(\Omega)}\,, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{S}(\Omega)} = \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega \int_{\Omega\cap B(x,\delta(x))} \frac{\big(u(y)-u(x)\big)^2}{\delta(x)^{d+2}} \mathbb{1}_{B_1}(|y-x|)dy\,dx\,,$$

and δ denotes the distance function with respect to $\partial\Omega$. The authors of [4] are interested in models from peridynamics. It is interesting that our approach to nonlocal function spaces, in the limit case $s \to 1-$, leads to a similar nonlocal trace theorem as their approach. Note that [4] does not contain extension results as in Theorem 4.

3. Preliminary results

In this section, we prove basic properties of the function spaces $W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)$ and collect several result on inner thick resp. exterior thick domains.

3.1. Basic properties of $W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)$.

Proposition 7. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set, $0 < s < 1$ and $p \geq 1$. Then the space $W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}$ is a Banach space.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. Let (f_n) be a Cauchy sequence in $(W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c), \|\cdot\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)})$. Then (f_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, hence there exists a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $f_n \to f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let f_{k_n} be a subsequence convergent a.e. to f. By the Fatou lemma

$$\begin{aligned}|f_{k_n} - f|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} &= \int_\Omega \int_{\Omega^c} \liminf_{l\to\infty} \frac{|(f_{k_n} - f_l)(x) - (f_{k_n} - f_l)(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{l\to\infty} \int_\Omega \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|(f_{k_n} - f_l)(x) - (f_{k_n} - f_l)(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty\,.\end{aligned}$$

From the above calculation and triangle inequality we deduce $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)$. Since (f_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $(W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c), \|\cdot\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)})$ and its subsequence converges to f, the whole sequence converges to f. □

Remark 8. For $p \in (0,1)$ the space $W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)$ equipped with a metric $\rho(f,g) := \|f-g\|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}$ is complete. The proof is basically the same as above.

Proposition 9. *If a measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $|f|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} < \infty$, then $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, (1+|x|)^{-d-sp}\,dx)$. Furthermore, the norms*

$$\left(\|\cdot\|^p_{L^p(\Omega,(1+|x|)^{-d-sp}\,dx)} + |\cdot|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}\right)^{1/p},$$

$$\left(\|\cdot\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d,(1+|x|)^{-d-sp}\,dx)} + |\cdot|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}\right)^{1/p}$$

are comparable.

Proof. Let $R > 1$ be large enough so that $B(0,R)$ intersects both Ω and $\operatorname{int}\Omega^c$. For a given f as in the proposition, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that for $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |f(x)| \leq n\}$ the intersections $F_n = E_n \cap \Omega \cap B(0,R)$ and $G_n = E_n \cap \Omega^c \cap B(0,R)$ are of positive Lebesgue measure. Note that

$$|w - z| \leq R + |z| \leq R(1+|z|), \qquad \text{for } w \in B(0,R) \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}2|f|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} &\geq \int_{F_n}\int_{\Omega^c\setminus E_{2n}} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx + \int_{\Omega\setminus E_{2n}}\int_{G_n} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx \\ &\geq \frac{2^{-p}}{R^{d+sp}}\left(\int_{F_n}\int_{\Omega^c\setminus E_{2n}} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{(1+|y|)^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx + \int_{\Omega\setminus E_{2n}}\int_{G_n} \frac{|f(x)|^p}{(1+|x|)^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx\right) \\ &\geq \frac{2^{-p}(|F_n| \wedge |G_n|)}{R^{d+sp}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus E_{2n}} \frac{|f(x)|^p}{(1+|x|)^{d+sp}}\, dx.\end{aligned}$$

Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large so that $|F_n| \wedge |G_n|$ is positive. Since obviously $\int_{E_{2n}} |f(x)|^p (1+|x|)^{-d-sp}\,dx < \infty$, we conclude that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, (1+|x|)^{-d-sp}\,dx)$.
Comparability of the first two norms follows from the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^p_{L^p(\Omega,(1+|x|)^{-d-sp}\,dx)} + |f|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} &\gtrsim \int_{\Omega\cap B(0,R)} \left(|f(x)|^p + \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{(1+|y|)^{d+sp}}\,dy \right) dx \\
&\gtrsim \int_{\Omega\cap B(0,R)} \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(x)|^p + |f(x)-f(y)|^p}{(1+|y|)^{d+sp}}\,dy\,dx \\
&\gtrsim \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{(1+|y|)^{d+sp}}\,dy
\end{aligned}$$

with constants depending only on Ω, R, d, s, p. □

3.2. **Whitney decomposition, thickness and plumpness.** We recall several geometric notions needed in the sequel. They allow us to present our main results for rather general domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that, however, Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 are new even for domains with a smooth boundary.

For a nonempty open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $D \neq \mathbb{R}^d$, we fix a Whitney decomposition $\mathcal{W}(D)$ [10, VI.1] and write $\mathcal{W}_m(D)$ for the family of Whitney cubes with side length 2^{-m}, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $Q \in \mathcal{W}(D)$, then

$$\operatorname{diam}(Q) \le \operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial D) \le 4 \operatorname{diam}(Q)\,. \tag{3.1}$$

For any cube Q, its side length is denoted by $\ell(Q)$ and its center by x_Q. By Q^* we denote a cube with the same center as Q, but side length $\ell(Q^*) = (1+\varepsilon)\ell(Q)$, where $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ is fixed once for all. Such cubes have the property that

$$\mathbf{1}_D \le \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{W}(D)} \mathbf{1}_{Q^*} \le M \mathbf{1}_D$$

with some constant M depending only on d.
The next two definitions are slightly modified versions of [11, Definition 3.1]. Our definitions and [11, Definition 3.1] coincide if D or D^c has finite inner radius. In the case when $\operatorname{inr}(D) = \operatorname{inr}(D^c) = \infty$, if the domain D is I-thick in the sense of Definition 10, then it is also I-thick in the sense of [11, Definition 3.1].

Definition 10. An open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *I-thick* (*interior thick*), if for every $M > 0$ there exists a constant C such that for every cube $Q \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D})$ with $\operatorname{diam} Q < M \operatorname{inr}(D)$ there exists a *reflected* cube $\tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{W}(D)$ satisfying

$$C^{-1} \operatorname{diam}(Q) \le \operatorname{diam}(\tilde{Q}) \le C \operatorname{diam}(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{dist}(\tilde{Q}, Q) \le C \operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial D). \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 11. An open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called *E-thick* (*exterior thick*), if for every $M > 0$ there exists a constant C such that for every cube $Q \in \mathcal{W}(D)$ with $\operatorname{diam} Q < M \operatorname{inr}(D^c)$ there exists a *reflected* cube $\tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D})$ satisfying

$$C^{-1} \operatorname{diam}(Q) \le \operatorname{diam}(\tilde{Q}) \le C \operatorname{diam}(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{dist}(\tilde{Q}, Q) \le C \operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial D). \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 12. Definitions of I- and E-thickness do not depend on the choice of the families of Whitney cubes $\mathcal{W}(D)$ and $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D})$.

Remark 13. In Definition 10 we may additionally assume that the reflected cubes satisfy

$$\tilde{Q} \subset \{x \in D : \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D) < \operatorname{inr}(D^c)\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Indeed, if $\operatorname{diam} \tilde{Q} \leq \frac{1}{5} \operatorname{diam} Q$, then $\operatorname{dist}(w, \partial D) \leq 5 \operatorname{diam} \tilde{Q} \leq \operatorname{diam} Q < \operatorname{inr}(D^c)$ for $w \in \tilde{Q}$, as desired. In the other case $\operatorname{dist}(\tilde{Q}, \partial D) \geq \operatorname{diam}(\tilde{Q}) > \frac{1}{5} \operatorname{diam} Q$, so in the ball $B(x_{\tilde{Q}}, 5 \operatorname{diam} \tilde{Q})$ there exists a point $z \in D$ with $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial D) = \frac{1}{5} \operatorname{diam} Q$. Take Q' to be a cube from $\mathcal{W}(D)$ containing z. Then $\operatorname{diam} Q' \leq \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial D)$, so $\operatorname{dist}(w, \partial D) < \operatorname{inr}(D^c)$ for $w \in Q'$, as proved above. On the other hand, $\operatorname{diam} Q' \geq \frac{1}{5} \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial D) = \frac{1}{25} \operatorname{diam} Q$, so $\operatorname{diam} Q'$ and $\operatorname{diam} Q$ are comparable. Consequently, (3.2) holds also for Q' in place of $\tilde{Q}$ (perhaps with an enlarged C). Hence by redefining reflected cubes both (3.2) and (3.4) hold.
A similar remark applies to Definition 11.

Remark 14. Let D be exterior thick. The family of all reflected cubes in the sense of the definition above, i.e., $\mathcal{F} := \{\tilde{Q} : Q \in \mathcal{W}(D),\ \operatorname{diam} Q < M \operatorname{inr}(D^c)\}$ has the bounded overlap property, i.e., there exists a constant N such that

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{W}(D)} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{Q}} \leq N \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D}}.$$

This estimate holds true because the size of $\tilde{Q}$ and its distance to Q are comparable to the size of Q. An analogous property holds for interior thick sets D.

From [11, Proposition 3.6] it follows that if D is a bounded (ϵ, δ)-domain [11, Definition 3.1(i)], then D is I-thick and ∂D has Lebesgue measure zero. Bounded Lipschitz domains are both I-thick and E-thick [11, Proposition 3.8].
We will show that the assumption that D is an (ϵ, δ)-domain may be replaced by a weaker one. To this end we need the following definition.

Definition 15. [12, 7] A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is *κ-plump* with $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ (or simply *plump*) if, for each $0 < r < \operatorname{diam}(A)$ and each $x \in \bar{A}$, there is $z \in \bar{B}(x, r)$ such that $B(z, \kappa r) \subset A$.

Lemma 16. *If $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is plump, then it is also I-thick and ∂D has d-dimensional Lebesgue measure zero.*

Proof. Let us note that if D is plump, then its boundary ∂D is *porous*, i.e., there exists a constant α with the following property: for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < r \leq 1$, there exists $y \in B(x, r)$ such that $B(y, \alpha r) \subset B(x, r) \setminus \partial D$. Therefore ∂D has Lebesgue measure zero, see e.g. [6].
Let $M > 1$. For each cube $Q \in \mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int} D^c)$ such that $\operatorname{diam} Q < M \operatorname{inr}(D)$ we will associate a *reflected* cube $\tilde{Q} \in \mathcal{W}(D)$ in the following way. Let $y_Q \in \partial D$ be a fixed point satisfying $|x_Q - y_Q| = \operatorname{dist}(x_Q, \partial D)$. We consider a ball $B(y_Q, \frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{M})$. By plumpness condition, there exist a ball $B \subset B(y_Q, \frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{M}) \cap D$ of radius $\kappa \frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{M}$. Let z be its center; as $\tilde{Q}$ we fix any of the Whitney cubes from $\mathcal{W}(D)$ containing z.
Let z be a point as above. Then

$$\kappa \frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{M} \leq \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial D) \leq \frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{M},$$

and hence by properties of Whitney cubes

$$\frac{\kappa}{5M} \operatorname{diam} Q \leq \operatorname{diam} \tilde{Q} \leq \frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{M}.$$

Furthermore, for $x \in Q$ and $w \in \tilde{Q}$

$$|x-w| \le |x-x_Q| + |x_Q - y_Q| + |y_Q - z| + |z-w| \le (1+5+1+1)\operatorname{diam} Q \tag{3.5}$$

$$\le 8 \operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial D). \tag{3.6}$$

To summarize, the five numbers $\operatorname{diam}(Q)$, $\operatorname{diam}(\tilde{Q})$, $\operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial D)$, $\operatorname{dist}(\tilde{Q}, \partial D)$, $\operatorname{dist}(Q, \tilde{Q})$ are comparable with constants depending only on κ and M. □

[11, Remark 3.7] provides an example of an interior thick set Ω such that $|\partial\Omega| > 0$. It follows from Lemma 16 that such Ω is not plump. This example is however not completely satisfactory in our case, since in our results we assume that $|\partial\Omega| = 0$. Therefore we provide another example.

Example. Consider annuli $A_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 2^{-n-1} \le |x| < 2^{-n}\}$ and let $a_n = 2^{-n-1}/n$, where $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Let $O_n \subset A_n$ be a maximal set such that balls centered at points from O_n with radii a_n are pairwise disjoint and contained in A_n. Clearly $O_n \neq \emptyset$. Set

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{x \in O_n} B(x, \frac{a_n}{2}).$$

It is easy to observe that Ω is both interior and exterior thick. However, the largest ball that is contained in $B(0, 2^{-n})$, has a radius smaller than $3a_n/2$. Since $(3a_n/2)/(2^{-n}) = 3/(4n) \to 0$, the set Ω is not plump. Moreover, $|\partial\Omega| = 0$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The first inequality in (2.4) follows from the fact that $|x-y| + \delta_x + \delta_y \le 3|x-y|$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $y \in \Omega^c$. This implies

$$3^{-d-p} \int_\Omega \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx \le \int_{\Omega \cup \Omega_b} \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{(|x-y| + \delta_x + \delta_y)^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx\,,$$

where from the desired inequality follows. To prove the second inequality, we observe that

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \delta_x < \operatorname{inr}(\Omega)\}} \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{(|x-y| + \delta_x + \delta_y)^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx$$

$$\le |f|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} + \int_{\{x \in \Omega^c : \delta_x < \operatorname{inr}(\Omega)\}} \int_{\Omega^c} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{(|x-y| + \delta_x + \delta_y)^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx =: |f|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)} + I.$$

We note that if $x \in \operatorname{int}\Omega^c$ satisfies $\delta_x < \operatorname{inr}(\Omega)$, then a Whitney cube $Q \in \mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int}\Omega^c)$ containing x satisfies $\operatorname{diam} Q \le \operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial\Omega) < \operatorname{inr}(\Omega)$. Moreover, since $\partial\Omega$ has Lebesgue measure zero, we obtain

$$I \le \sum_{Q_1 \in \mathcal{W}^b} \sum_{Q_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int}\Omega^c)} \int_{Q_1} \int_{Q_2} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{(|x-y| + \delta_x + \delta_y)^{d+sp}}\, dy\, dx, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}^b = \{Q_1 \in \mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int}\Omega^c) : \operatorname{diam} Q_1 < \operatorname{inr}(\Omega)\}.$$

We take $M = 1$ in the Definition 10 so that $\tilde{Q}$ exists for all cubes $Q \in \mathcal{W}^b$, and let C be the corresponding constant. Let $Q_1 \in \mathcal{W}^b$ and $Q_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int}\Omega^c)$. For $y \in Q_2$ and $w \in \tilde{Q}_1$

$$|y-w| \le \operatorname{dist}(y, Q_1) + \operatorname{diam} Q_1 + \operatorname{dist}(Q_1, \tilde{Q}_1) + \operatorname{diam}\tilde{Q}_1$$

$$\le \operatorname{dist}(y, Q_1) + (5C+1)\operatorname{diam} Q_1. \tag{4.2}$$

For $x \in Q_1$ and $y \in Q_2$ we denote

$$w = w(x,y) = x_{\tilde{Q}_1} + \left(\frac{x - x_{Q_1}}{2\ell(Q_1)} + \frac{y - x_{Q_2}}{2\ell(Q_2)} \right) \ell(\tilde{Q}_1)$$

and observe that $w \in \tilde{Q}_1$.
We come back to estimating the double integral in (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Q_1} \int_{Q_2} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{(|x-y| + \delta_x + \delta_y)^{d+sp}} \, dy \, dx \\
&\qquad \leq (2^{p-1} \vee 1) \int_{Q_1} \int_{Q_2} \frac{|f(x) - f(w(x,y))|^p}{(\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q_2) + \operatorname{dist}(Q_1, \partial\Omega))^{d+sp}} \, dy \, dx \\
&\qquad\quad + (2^{p-1} \vee 1) \int_{Q_1} \int_{Q_2} \frac{|f(w(x,y)) - f(y))|^p}{(\operatorname{dist}(y, Q_1) + \operatorname{dist}(Q_1, \partial\Omega))^{d+sp}} \, dy \, dx \\
&\qquad =: (2^{p-1} \vee 1)(I_1(Q_1, Q_2) + I_2(Q_1, Q_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $I_1(Q_1, Q_2)$, we change the variable y to $w = w(x,y)$ in the integral and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(Q_1, Q_2) &\leq \frac{2^d \ell(Q_2)^d}{\ell(\tilde{Q}_1)^d} \int_{Q_1} \int_{\tilde{Q}_1} \frac{|f(x) - f(w))|^p}{(\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q_2) + \operatorname{dist}(Q_1, \partial\Omega))^{d+sp}} \, dw \, dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{Q_1} \int_{\tilde{Q}_1} \frac{|f(x) - f(w))|^p}{|x-w|^{d+sp}} \, dw \, dx \cdot \frac{\int_{Q_2} \left(1 + \frac{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q_2)}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, \partial\Omega)}\right)^{-d-sp} dy}{\ell(\tilde{Q}_1)^d}
\end{aligned}$$

In the last passage we have used (3.6) and the inequality $s \leq 1$ (although any upper bound for s would suffice). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{Q_1 \in \mathcal{W}^b} \sum_{Q_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int} \Omega^c)} I_1(Q_1, Q_2) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{Q_1 \in \mathcal{W}^b} \int_{Q_1} \int_{\tilde{Q}_1} \frac{|f(x) - f(w))|^p}{|x-w|^{d+sp}} \, dw \, dx \cdot \sum_{Q_2} \frac{\int_{Q_2} \left(1 + \frac{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q_2)}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, \partial\Omega)}\right)^{-d-sp} dy}{\ell(\tilde{Q}_1)^d}.
\end{aligned}$$

By properties of Whitney cubes,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{Q_2} \frac{\int_{Q_2} \left(1 + \frac{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q_2)}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, \partial\Omega)}\right)^{-d-sp} dy}{\ell(\tilde{Q}_1)^d} \\
&\qquad \leq \ell(\tilde{Q}_1)^{-d} c(d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(1 + \frac{|y - x_{Q_1}|}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, \partial\Omega)}\right)^{-d-sp} dy \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, \partial\Omega)}{\ell(\tilde{Q}_1)}\right)^d c(d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |z|)^{-d-sp} \, dz \leq \frac{c(d, C)}{s},
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant $c(d, C)$ depends only on d and C, but not on the cube Q_1. Thus by Remark 14

$$\sum_{Q_1 \in \mathcal{W}^b} \sum_{Q_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int} \Omega^c)} I_1(Q_1, Q_2) \leq \frac{c(d, p, C)}{s} |f|^p_{W^{s,p}(\Omega|\Omega^c)}. \tag{4.3}$$

We are left with estimating $I_2(Q_1,Q_2)$. We interchange the order of integration and change the variable x to $w=w(x,y)$. By (4.2), this gives us

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(Q_1,Q_2) &\le \frac{2^d \ell(Q_1)^d}{\ell(\tilde{Q}_1)^d} \int_{Q_2}\int_{\tilde{Q}_1} \frac{|f(w)-f(y))|^p}{(\operatorname{dist}(y,Q_1)+\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,\partial\Omega))^{d+sp}}\,dw\,dy \\ &\le c(d,p,C)\int_{Q_2}\int_{\tilde{Q}_1} \frac{|f(w)-f(y))|^p}{|w-y|^{d+sp}}\,dw\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 14, we get an estimate like (4.3), but with $I_2(Q_1,Q_2)$ instead of $I_1(Q_1,Q_2)$.
Note that the constant in Theorem 2 depends on Ω only through d and the constant C from Definition 10 taken for $M=1$. □

5. Proof of Theorem 4

We may assume that $\Omega\neq\emptyset$. We fix $M=1$ if $\operatorname{inr}(\Omega^c)=\infty$ and $M=\frac{2\sqrt{d}\operatorname{inr}(\Omega)}{\operatorname{inr}(\Omega^c)}$ if $\operatorname{inr}(\Omega^c)<\infty$, we take reflected cubes and the constant C as in Definition 10 for this particular choice of M. We additionally assume, which we may without loss of generality, that the reflected cubes satisfy (3.4) with $D=\Omega^c$.

5.1. **Definition of the extension.** Let $Q_0=[0,1]^d$. We fix a function $\psi_0\in C_c^\infty(Q_0^*)$ such that $\psi_0=1$ on Q_0 and $0\le\psi_0\le 1$. We shift and rescale this function to other cubes, i.e., we let

$$\psi_Q(x)=\psi_0\left(\frac{x-x_Q}{\ell(Q)}+x_{Q_0}\right),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

We consider the following family of functions

$$\phi_Q(x)=\frac{\psi_Q(x)}{\sum_{R\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)}\psi_R(x)},\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Thus $\phi_Q\ge 0$ and $\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)}\phi_Q=\mathbf{1}_\Omega$. Let

$$a_Q=\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|}\int_{\tilde{Q}} f(x)\,dx,\quad Q\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega);$$

note that the reflected cube $\tilde{Q}$ is well defined thanks to the choice of M. We extend a given function $f\in L^1_{loc}(\Omega^c)$ from Ω^c to $\mathbb{R}^d$ by defining $\operatorname{ext}(f)$ as follows:

$$\operatorname{ext}(f)(x)=\begin{cases}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)} a_Q\phi_Q(x) & \text{if } x\in\Omega\,,\\ f(x) & \text{if } x\in\Omega^c\,.\end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q)=\{R\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega): R\cap Q\neq\emptyset\}$ be the collection of Whitney cubes intersecting Q. Observe that for $x\in Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ and any $t\in\mathbb{R}$

$$f(x)=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)} a_Q\phi_Q(x)=t+\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}(a_Q-t)\phi_Q(x). \tag{5.1}$$

5.2. **An estimate of $|a_{Q_1} - a_Q|^p$.** We claim that, for $Q_1, Q \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$,

$$|a_{Q_1} - a_Q|^p \lesssim \frac{(\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q) + \ell(Q_1) + \ell(Q))^{d+sp}}{|Q_1||Q|} |f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}, \tag{5.2}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{Q_1} - a_Q| &= \frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}_1||\tilde{Q}|} \left| |\tilde{Q}| \int_{\tilde{Q}_1} f(y)\,dy - |\tilde{Q}_1| \int_{\tilde{Q}} f(x)\,dx \right| \\ &\le \frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}_1||\tilde{Q}|} \int_{\tilde{Q}_1} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |f(y) - f(x)|\,dy\,dx\,. \end{aligned}$$

From $|Q_j| \lesssim |\tilde{Q}_j|$, and Jensen inequality we deduce

$$|a_{Q_1} - a_Q|^p \lesssim \frac{1}{|Q_1||Q|} \int_{\tilde{Q}_1} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |f(y) - f(x)|^p\,dy\,dx,$$

and the claim follows.

5.3. **An estimate of $|\phi_Q|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2}$ for $s \le 1$ and arbitrary cubes Q, Q_1, Q_2.** It easy to check that $|\nabla \phi_Q| \lesssim \ell(Q)^{-1}$. Therefore $|\phi_Q(x) - \phi_Q(y)| \lesssim \ell(Q)^{-1}|x-y| \wedge 1$ for all x, y. As a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_Q|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2} &\lesssim \int_{Q_1} \int_{Q_2} \frac{\ell(Q)^{-p}|x-y|^p \wedge 1}{|x-y|^{d+sp}}\,dy\,dx \\ &\lesssim |Q_1||Q_2| \Big(\frac{\ell(Q_1)^{p-sp-d}\ell(Q)^{-p}}{1-s} \wedge \frac{\ell(Q_2)^{p-sp-d}\ell(Q)^{-p}}{1-s} \wedge \operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{-d-sp} \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We note that the above inequality for $s = 1$ is nontrivial only if $\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q_2) > 0$.

5.4. **An estimate of $|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega,\Omega}$ for $s < 1$.** It holds

$$|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega,\Omega} = \sum_{Q_1 \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)} \sum_{Q_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)} |\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2}. \tag{5.4}$$

For Q_1, $Q_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$, we use (5.1) twice with $t = a_{Q_1}$ and obtain

$$|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2} \lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_2)} |a_Q - a_{Q_1}|^p |\phi_Q|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2}.$$

If additionally $Q_2 \in \mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)$, then for $Q \in \mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1) \cup \mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_Q - a_{Q_1}|^p |\phi_Q|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2} &\lesssim \frac{(\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q) + \ell(Q_1) + \ell(Q))^{d+sp}}{|Q_1||Q|} |f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}} \cdot \frac{|Q_1||Q_2|\ell(Q_1)^{-sp-d}}{1-s} \\ &\lesssim \frac{|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}}{1-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if $Q_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega) \setminus \mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)$, then $\operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q_2) > 0$ and consequently $\ell(Q_1)$, $\ell(Q_2) \lesssim \operatorname{dist}(Q_1, Q_2)$. Then for $Q \in \mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)$

$$|a_Q - a_{Q_1}|^p |\phi_Q|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2} \lesssim \frac{(\ell(Q_1) + \ell(Q))^{d+sp}}{|Q_1||Q|} |f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}} \cdot \frac{|Q_1||Q_2|}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{d+sp}}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{\ell(Q_1)^{sp}|Q_2|\,|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{d+sp}}.$$

On the other hand, for $Q \in \mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_2)$

$$\begin{aligned}|a_Q - a_{Q_1}|^p |\phi_Q|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2} &\lesssim \frac{(\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q)+\ell(Q_1)+\ell(Q))^{d+sp}}{|Q_1||Q|}\,|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}} \cdot \frac{|Q_1||Q_2|}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{d+sp}}\\ &\lesssim |f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequalities yields

$$\begin{aligned}|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega,\Omega} &\lesssim \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)}\Bigg(\sum_{Q_2\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)\cup\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_2)}\frac{|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}}{1-s}\\ &\qquad+\sum_{Q_2\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)\setminus\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}\frac{\ell(Q_1)^{sp}|Q_2|\,|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{d+sp}}\\ &\qquad+\sum_{Q_2\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)\setminus\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_2)}|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}\Bigg)\\ &\lesssim \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)}\Bigg(\sum_{Q:\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q)\cap\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)\neq\emptyset}\frac{|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}}{1-s}\\ &\qquad+\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}\int_{\Omega\setminus\bigcup\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}\frac{\ell(Q_1)^{sp}\,dx}{\operatorname{dist}(x,Q_1)^{d+sp}}\\ &\qquad+\sum_{Q_2\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)\setminus\{Q_1\}}|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}_2}\Bigg)\\ &\lesssim \frac{|f|^{s,p}_{\Omega_b,\Omega^c}}{s(1-s)}. \qquad (5.5)\end{aligned}$$

5.5. **An estimate of $|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega,\Omega^c}$.** It holds

$$|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega,\Omega^c} = \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)}\sum_{Q_2\in\mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int}\Omega^c)}|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2}. \qquad (5.6)$$

Now let $Q_1 \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ and $Q_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int}\Omega^c)$. We again use (5.1) with $t = a_{Q_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{Q_1,Q_2} &= \int_{Q_1}\int_{Q_2}\frac{\big|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}(a_Q-a_{Q_1})\phi_Q(x)+a_{Q_1}-f(y)\big|^p}{(|x-y|+\delta_x+\delta_y)^{d+sp}}\,dy\,dx\\ &\lesssim \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}\int_{Q_1}\int_{Q_2}\frac{\big|(a_Q-a_{Q_1})(\phi_Q(x)-\phi_Q(y))\big|^p}{(|x-y|+\delta_x+\delta_y)^{d+sp}}\,dy\,dx\\ &\qquad+\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{-d-sp}\int_{Q_1}\int_{Q_2}\Big|a_{Q_1}-f(y)\Big|^p\,dy\,dx =: A+\frac{B}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{d+sp}}.\end{aligned}$$

The first term above is estimated using (5.2) and (5.3),

$$A \lesssim \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)} \frac{(\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q)+\ell(Q_1)+\ell(Q))^{d+sp}}{|Q_1||Q|}\,|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}\,\frac{|Q_1||Q_2|}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{d+sp}}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)} |f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}\,\frac{\ell(Q_1)^{sp}|Q_2|}{\operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{d+sp}}.$$

For the second term,

$$B = |Q_1|\int_{Q_2}\left|\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}_1|}\int_{\tilde{Q}_1}(f(x)-f(y))\,dx\right|^p dy \lesssim \int_{Q_2}\int_{\tilde{Q}_1}|f(x)-f(y)|^p\,dx\,dy$$
$$\lesssim \operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{d+sp}\int_{Q_2}\int_{\tilde{Q}_1}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{(|x-y|+\delta_x+\delta_y)^{d+sp}}\,dx\,dy = \operatorname{dist}(Q_1,Q_2)^{d+sp}|f|^{s,p}_{Q_2,\tilde{Q}_1}.$$

Inequalities obtained for A and B together with (5.6) yield

$$|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega,\Omega^c} \lesssim \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)}\left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)}|f|^{s,p}_{\tilde{Q}_1,\tilde{Q}}\int_{\Omega^c}\frac{\ell(Q_1)^{sp}\,dx}{\operatorname{dist}(x,Q_1)^{d+sp}} + \sum_{Q_2\in\mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int}\Omega^c)}|f|^{s,p}_{Q_2,\tilde{Q}_1}\right)$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{s}|f|^{s,p}_{\Omega_b,\Omega^c}. \tag{5.7}$$

5.6. **Proof of part (b).** By (5.5) and (5.7) we get

$$|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega\cup\Omega_b,\Omega^c} = |\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega,\Omega^c} + |f|^{s,p}_{\Omega_b,\Omega^c} \lesssim \frac{1}{s}|f|^{s,p}_{\Omega_b,\Omega^c}, \tag{5.8}$$

$$|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega\cup\Omega_b,\mathbb{R}^d} \le |\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega,\Omega} + 2|\operatorname{ext}(f)|^{s,p}_{\Omega,\Omega^c} + |f|^{s,p}_{\Omega_b,\Omega^c} \lesssim \frac{1}{s(1-s)}|f|^{s,p}_{\Omega_b,\Omega^c}, \tag{5.9}$$

which finishes the proof of (b).
We note that the constants depend on Ω only through d, M and C.

5.7. **Proof of part (a).** The smoothness of $\operatorname{ext}(f)$ on Ω follows directly from the definition. The proof of the second part is omitted as it is straightforward, it is based on the fact that if the cubes $Q\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ approach $z\in\partial\Omega$, then so do the reflected cubes $\tilde{Q}$. □

5.8. **Proof of part (c).** If $p=\infty$, then

$$\|\operatorname{ext}(f)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \sup_{Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)}\sup_{x\in Q_1}\left|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)} a_Q\phi_Q(x)\right|$$
$$\le \sup_{Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)} \#\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)\cdot\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_b)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega^c)}.$$

Now let $p<\infty$. We first observe that

$$|x|+1 \asymp |\tilde{x}|+1 \qquad \text{whenever } x\in Q\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega) \text{ and } \tilde{x}\in\tilde{Q}\in\mathcal{W}(\operatorname{int}\Omega^c), \tag{5.10}$$

with constants dependent only on the domain Ω. Indeed, let $R=\operatorname{dist}(0,\partial\Omega)$, then

$$|\tilde{x}| \le |\tilde{x}-x|+|x| \lesssim \operatorname{diam} Q + |x|,$$

and

$$\operatorname{diam} Q \le \operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial\Omega) \le |x-0| + \operatorname{dist}(0, \partial\Omega) = |x| + R,$$

so $|\tilde{x}| + 1 \lesssim |x| + 1$, as claimed. The proof of the opposite estimate is similar and omitted.
Let $\omega(x) = (1+|x|)^\beta$. Since the numbers $\#\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)$ for $Q_1 \in \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ are bounded from above by a constant depending only on the domain Ω, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^p_{L^p(\Omega,\,\omega(x)dx)} &= \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)} \int_{Q_1} \left| \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)} a_Q \phi_Q(x) \right|^p \omega(x)\,dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)} |a_Q|^p \int_{Q_1} |\phi_Q(x)|^p \omega(x)\,dx \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

By Jensen inequality, comparability of the sizes of cubes Q, $\tilde{Q}$ and Q_1 as in the sum above, and (5.10) we can estimate each summand as follows

$$|a_Q|^p \int_{Q_1} |\phi_Q(x)|^p \omega(x)\,dx \le \frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |f(x)|^p\,dx \cdot |Q_1| \cdot \sup_Q \omega \lesssim \int_{\tilde{Q}} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)\,dx.$$

Using boundedness of $\#\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)$, and Remark 14 we obtain from the estimate (5.11) the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^p_{L^p(\Omega,\,\omega(x)dx)} &\lesssim \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)} \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{N}_\Omega(Q_1)} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)\,dx \lesssim \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{W}(\Omega)} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)\,dx \\ &\lesssim \|f\|^p_{L^p(\Omega_b,\,\omega(x)dx)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of part (c) and thus the proof of Theorem 4. □

References

[1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier. *Sobolev spaces*, volume 140 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam)*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, second edition, 2003.
[2] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, and P. Mironescu. Another look at Sobolev spaces. pages 439–455, 2001.
[3] S. Dipierro, X. Ros-Oton, and E. Valdinoci. Nonlocal problems with neumann boundary conditions. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1407.3313, 2014.
[4] Q. Du and X. Tian. Trace theorems for some nonlocal function spaces. 2016. preprint.
[5] M. Felsinger, M. Kassmann, and P. Voigt. The Dirichlet problem for nonlocal operators. *Math. Z.*, 279(3-4):779–809, 2015.
[6] J. Luukkainen. Assouad dimension: antifractal metrization, porous sets, and homogeneous measures. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 35(1):23–76, 1998.
[7] O. Martio and J. Väisälä. Bounded turning and passability. *Results Math.*, 24:246–273, 1993.
[8] T. Mengesha and Q. Du. Nonlocal constrained value problems for a linear peridynamic Navier equation. *J. Elasticity*, 116(1):27–51, 2014.
[9] L. N. Slobodeckiĭ. S. L. Sobolev's spaces of fractional order and their application to boundary problems for partial differential equations. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 118:243–246, 1958.
[10] E. M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
[11] H. Triebel. *Function spaces and wavelets on domains*, volume 7 of *EMS Tracts in Mathematics*. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
[12] J. Väisälä. Uniform domains. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 40(1):101–118, 1988.

(B.D.) Faculty of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail address: bdyda@pwr.edu.pl

(M.K.) Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld
E-mail address: moritz.kassmann@uni-bielefeld.de